

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

M-F

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/936,983	09/19/2001	Marco Winter	PD990019	2973	
	7590 03/22/2007 Joseph S Tripoli		EXAMINER		
Thonson Multimedia Licensing			VENT, JAMIE J		
PO Box 5312 Princeton, NJ 08543-0028  ART UNIT PAPE				PAPER NUMBER	
Timoton, 115 o			2621		
	•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	,		03/22/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/936,983	WINTER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jamie Vent	2621	

	Jamie Vent	2621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence ado	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 13 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ring replies: (1) an amendment, tice of Appeal (with appeal fee)	affidavit, or other evider n compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set fo tter than SIX MONTHS from the ma b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN	iling date of the final rejecti	on.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR ension and the corresponding amou hortened statutory period for reply o than three months after the mailing	int of the fee. The appropringinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action: or (2) as			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of the appeal. Since			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I  (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belor  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see N w);	IOTE below);				
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a on NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally	rejected claims.				
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all</li></ul>	·		,			
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☒ rided below or appended.	will be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>13-28</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
<ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>	t before or on the date of filing a I sufficient reasons why the affic	Notice of Appeal will <u>no</u> lavit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under ap and was not earlier presented.	peal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•				
<ul> <li>11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered bu see attached sheet.</li> <li>12.  Note the attached information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> </ul>			nce because:			
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	F 10/30/00) Fapel 140(5)	<del></del> .				

Art Unit: 2621

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed February 13, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. On pages 3-5 applicant argues that Kikuchi et al in view of Willis et al fails to teach, disclose, or fairly suggest the following limitations, "recording an access unit start map for said access unit information wherein said access unit start map a respective flag is assigned to each one of said stream object units, each of said flags indicating with a first value that the start of one of said access units is contained within a range of said recorded bit stream consisting of a corresponding stream object unit and the immediately subsequent stream object unit, or indicating with a second value that no corresponding access unit exists for that flag its related stream object unit" as recited in Claim 13. Kikuchi et al discloses an access unit map for searching information as described in Column 21 Lines 1-8. Furthermore, as described in Column 21 Lines 9-53 the access map has flags indicating various states of the video objects. For example, at the head of the forward address a flag indicates if the video exists in the object and the forward address to where the video is stored. The flags additionally represent the value and range of the access map corresponding to the bit number as explained in detail in Column 21 Lines 20-33. Additionally, applicant argues that the two bits used by Kikuchi et al has different function that the applicants invention; however. for reasons listed above and specifically pointed on in Column 21 Lines 9-53 the examiner can not agree. Therefore, the flags as disclosed in Kikuchi et al shows a relationship of flags and the corresponding access and address to the video objects

Art Unit: 2621

being stored in the system and thereby meets the limitations as disclosed in independent Claim 13.

James J. Groody
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Art Unit 262 262